

Remarks and Responses

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the present application and the allowance of claims 1-3. Claim 4-8, however, have been tentatively rejected. In response, claims 4-8 are amended herein. Therefore, claims 1-8 remain pending in the present application. In addition, the disclosure of the preferred embodiments is amended. The Office Action also objected to the drawings for certain noted informalities (including the failure of FIG. 3 to include reference numbers 310 and 320). Applicant has amended the drawings accordingly.

Support for the amendments is found in the specification and claims as filed. Accordingly, the amendments made herein do not introduce any new matter into the application. Reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following comments is respectfully requested.

Drawings Objection

With respect to Paragraph 2, the Office Action objected to drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(p)(5) because the drawings do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description. In response thereto, two reference signs 310 and 320 for indicating the reflectivities of the conventional black matrix and the black matrix of the embodiment, respectively, are added in Fig. 3 (as supported by the original disclosure of page 8, lines 1-13).

With respect to Paragraph 3, the Office Action objected to Figs. 4, 5 and 6 as being incorrectly numbered. In response thereto, the drawing labeled "Fig. 4" is

reabeled --Fig. 5--, the drawing labeled "Fig. 5" is relabeled --Fig. 6--, and the drawing labeled "Fig. 6" is relabeled --Fig. 4--.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action's objection is now overcome.

Specification Objection

The Office Action objected the specification because of the following noted informality:

On page 9, line 13, "Fig. 3" should be --Fig. 2--. In response thereto, Applicant has amended the specification to correct the noted informality. In view of this amendment to the specification, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

With respect to paragraphs 5 of the Office Action, the Office Action rejected claims 4-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response thereto, applicant has amended the claims 4-8 by deleting the phrase "a thickness of" before the first power value in line 3 of each of the claims 4-8. Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection is now overcome.

Cited Art

The cited art made of record, but not relied upon, has been considered but is not believed to impact the patentability of the pending claims.


Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this amendment and response to Office Action. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Daniel R. McClure
Registration No. 38,962

Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339
770-933-9500




Fig. 3




Fig. 4/5




Fig. ~~5~~ 6




Fig. ~~6~~ 4